# Depressive Symptoms and Physical Activity in Adolescent Girls

CAROLYN C. JOHNSON<sup>1</sup>, DAVID M. MURRAY<sup>2</sup>, JOHN P. ELDER<sup>3</sup>, JARED B. JOBE<sup>4</sup>, ANDREA L. DUNN<sup>5</sup>, MARTHA KUBIK<sup>6</sup>, CAROLYN VOORHEES<sup>7</sup>, and KENNETH SCHACHTER<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tulane University School of Public Health & Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA; <sup>2</sup>The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH; <sup>3</sup>San Diego State University, San Diego, CA; <sup>4</sup>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, MD; <sup>5</sup>Klein Buendel, Inc., Golden, CO; <sup>6</sup>University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; <sup>7</sup>University of Maryland, College Park, MD; and <sup>8</sup>University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ

#### ABSTRACT

JOHNSON, C. C., D. M. MURRAY, J. P. ELDER, J. B. JOBE, A. L. DUNN, M. KUBIK, C. VOORHEES, and K. SCHACHTER. Depressive Symptoms and Physical Activity in Adolescent Girls. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., Vol. 40, No. 5, pp. 818-826, 2008. Purpose: To evaluate the relationship between depressive symptoms and physical activity in a geographically and ethnically diverse sample of sixth-grade adolescent girls. Methods: The Trial of Activity for Adolescent Girls (TAAG) baseline measurement included a random sample (N = 1721) of sixth-grade girls in 36 schools at six field sites. Measurements were accelerometry and the 3-d Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR) for physical activity, and the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale (CES-D) for depressive symptoms. Results: Girls with complete data (N = 1397), mean age 12 yr, had an average CES-D score of 14.7 (SD = 9.25) and engaged in an average of about 460 min of sedentary activity, < 24 min of moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA), and < 6 min of vigorous physical activity (VPA) in an 18-h day. Thirty-minute segments of MVPA ranged in number from 3.9 to 1.2, and METS for these segments ranged from > 3.0 to > 6.5. Mixed-model regression indicated no relationship between depressive symptoms and physical activity; however, a significant but modest inverse relationship between sedentary activity and depressive symptoms was observed. Conclusion: A sufficient sample size, standardized procedures, and validated instruments characterized this study; however, a relationship between depressive symptoms and physical activity was not observed for sixth-grade girls from diverse geographic locations. The average CES-D score was lower than is considered clinically meaningful for either adolescents or adults, and MET-minutes of sedentary activity were high. This combination of data may be different from other studies and could have contributed to the unexpected finding. This unexpected finding is informative, however, because it shows the need for additional research that includes a wider range of possible combinations of data, especially with young adolescent girls. Key Words: SIXTH GRADERS, ACCELEROMETRY, 3DPAR, SEDENTARY BEHAVIOR

physically inactive lifestyle is associated with the development of obesity, chronic physical disorders, and certain mental and emotional conditions (37). Despite evidence that physical activity has multiple health benefits and is associated with reductions in morbidity and mortality, physical inactivity among the U. S. population

Address for correspondence: Carolyn C. Johnson, Ph.D., Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, 1440 Canal St., Rm 2309, New Orleans, LA, 70112; E-mail: cjohnso5@tulane.edu. Submitted for publication April 2007. Accepted for publication November 2007. 0195-9131/08/4005-0818/0

MEDICINE & SCIENCE IN SPORTS & EXERCISE Copyright © 2008 by the American College of Sports Medicine DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181632d49 continues to be widespread (37). National studies have shown that almost two thirds of U.S. adults are either sedentary or irregularly active (19,23), and children's levels of physical activity, although initially higher than adults, decline as children grow into adolescence and young adulthood (37). Indeed, by middle-school age, more than one third of adolescents are not reaching recommended physical activity levels, and just a little over one third of adolescents participate in daily physical activity programs (37). Multiple studies have reported that the decrease in adolescent physical activity is much more pronounced for girls than for boys (29,37), and that the proportion of adolescent boys versus adolescent girls who are consistently active is about two to one (23).

Major depressive disorder is one of the most common mental disorders among adults in industrialized countries (2). Major depressive disorder and expressions of depressive symptomatology can begin during adolescence and are associated with morbidity and mortality in the adolescent population (28). Depression in its variant forms is now occurring earlier in life than it did in the past (28), and, surprisingly, the annual rate of depression among adolescents and young adults is almost twice that of older adults (40). A recent study by Kessler et al. (2005) found that half of all lifetime cases of mental disorders, including depression, start at about 14 yr of age (15).

The above studies suggest that adolescence is a developmental period when physical activity levels decrease, especially for girls, and depressive symptoms begin or increase, especially for girls (1). Both adult and adolescent females have a higher probability than males of depressive symptom expression (1), with adolescent girls being twice as likely as adolescent boys to develop depression, a gender ratio similar to that for physical inactivity.

Although not all studies agree, both cross-sectional and longitudinal population-based studies have shown a link between depression or depressive symptoms and physical activity levels (4,5,13,29). For example, attendance in physical education (PE) class has been inversely related to feelings of sadness (4). Calfas and Taylor (1994) reviewed adolescent physical activity studies and concluded that physical activity was consistently related to improvements in depressive symptoms, with an effect size of 0.38 for depressive symptoms (5). In a review of 102 studies of correlates of physical activity for children and adolescents, Sallis et al. (2000) indicated that, although beneficial effects of physical activity in youth are less well documented than in adults, positive effects have been demonstrated in youth on both physical and psychological health, that is, depression (29). Again, child and adolescent males were consistently more physically active than females. Of the 54 adolescent studies reviewed by Sallis et al. (29), the majority indicated that depression was inversely correlated with physical activity. Physical activity measurement was almost always self-report, with only six studies using objective measures such as pedometers or accelerometers.

Some studies, however, have not found an inverse relationship between physical activity and depression and/ or depressive symptoms (12,17,21,22). For example, Norris, Carroll, and Cochrane found that high-intensity, but not moderate-intensity, physical training resulted in positive effects on psychological well-being for adolescents (22). Fulkerson also found that moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and depressive symptoms were negatively associated for males but not for females (12). As Sallis et al. (2000) have noted, these inconsistencies could be the result of studies using mixed-sex and -age samples, measuring physical activity with possibly unreliable and/or invalid self-report instruments, and/or other methodological differences, including the nonmeasurement of other important covariates that could be linked to depression or physical activity (29).

# Trial of Activity for Adolescent Girls

Because physical inactivity is an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease (10), and a decline in MVPA accelerates for girls during adolescence, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) initiated a randomized controlled multicenter trial, the Trial of Activity for Adolescent Girls (TAAG) (31). The primary aim of TAAG was to design and evaluate a school- and community-linked intervention to reduce by half the decline in physical activity (primarily measured by Actigraph accelerometers) in middle school girls. The intervention, based on the Social Ecological Model (32), was intended to affect the physical and social environment through programs in health education and PE that link schools with community organizations to increase opportunities for physical activity, along with promotional efforts to enhance motivation. TAAG is a collaborative trial among six field centers (Universities of Arizona, Maryland, Minnesota, and South Carolina; San Diego State University; and Tulane University), the coordinating center at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, and the NHLBI. A data and safety monitoring board provided oversight and performed an advisory role. Six schools per field center (N = 36 schools) were randomized to either intervention (N = 18) or control (N = 18) conditions after baseline measurement.

In the current study, participants were sixth-grade adolescent girls representing multiple ethnicities in six geographically diverse areas of the United States who participated in the TAAG baseline cross-sectional measurements prior to randomization to experimental conditions. Physical activity was measured with both accelerometry and self-report. The objective of the current study was to evaluate the relationship of depressive symptoms with levels of physical activity measured by both accelerometry and self-report in a free-living geographically and ethnically diverse sample of sixth-grade girls.

# METHOD

# TAAG Study Design

TAAG recruitment of both schools and students followed standardized protocols at all field sites. Schools eligible for participation in TAAG were publicly funded, with no magnet or special populations and with a less than 28% student dropout rate during any given year. Districts with middle schools meeting eligibility criteria were identified. Sixty-eight schools were contacted, 41 agreed to participate, and 36 schools, representing 19 districts, were finally selected based on inclusion criteria, one of which was willingness to accept random assignment. Girls were recruited based on random sampling within each school. A total of 1721 girls provided written parental consent for a baseline participation rate of 80% of all eligible girls. The measurement design consisted of a series of three cross-sectional measurements, with the first providing baseline

data and the last two providing follow-up data. The data reported here represent baseline assessment and will not be reported by intervention or control condition, but in the aggregate. The TAAG study design is described in more detail elsewhere (31).

## **Data Collection Procedures**

At baseline, the goal was to recruit 60 girls from each school to participate in baseline measurement, which included 1) Mediators and Moderators Student Questionnaire (MSQ), which incorporated the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale (CES-D); 2) accelerometry for measurement of physical activity; 3) a 3-d physical activity recall (3DPAR); and 4) body composition (height, weight, and triceps skinfolds). All measurement protocols were reviewed and approved by the respective human subjects institutional review boards for each of the seven universities engaged in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the participants, and the participants had the right of assent or refusal at time of measurement. Data collection documents were prelabeled prior to field use with either a unique identification (ID) number for each student or a bar code representing the ID. All data were collected by TAAG staff trained according to standardized protocols and certified for data collection only after practice administrations met specified standards. Periodic recertification ensured that performance standards were met continuously.

## Measurement

**CES-D.** Depressive symptoms were measured with the 20-item CES-D, a self-report measure intended for research applications in general nonpsychiatric populations. The majority of items (N = 16) assess cognitive, affective, behavioral, and somatic symptoms associated with depression; the remaining four items are included to minimize biases attributable to response sets, as well as for their intrinsic value (26). Frequency responses are recorded on a four-point Likert scale and range from "rarely" to "almost always." The instrument has been well standardized and used extensively with both adults and adolescents (26,27). Numerous interview and selfadministration field tests have found the CES-D acceptable and understandable by a wide range of general population respondents (38). High to adequate levels of internal consistency (alpha = 0.85), split-half (alpha = 0.87), and test-retest correlations (range from 0.51 to 0.67) were found in all groups studied (26). Correlations of the scale with other mental health measures and clinical ratings of depression have produced reasonable evidence of both construct and discriminant validity (0.73-0.89) (38). Acceptability and internal consistency have been demonstrated across age, sex, and race (white, African American, Mexican Americans, and Hispanics), as well as with adolescent populations (27). Although the CES-D is not a diagnostic instrument, a score of > 16 has been used to

indicate a "presumption of depression" with adults (21) and > 24 to indicate clinically meaningful symptoms of depression in adolescents (7).

MTI ActiGraph accelerometer. The MTI ActiGraph accelerometer is an objective measure of MVPA, the primary outcome variable for TAAG. The accelerometer records acceleration and deceleration of movement, resulting in activity counts, intensities of physical activity, and time of day for accelerations. The monitor has demonstrated high reliability, validity, and sensitivity to different intensities of physical activity, and has been used in several studies to assess physical activity in children (14,39). Correlations between heart rate and activity counts have ranged from 0.64 to 0.57. Reliability correlations over time have ranged from 0.87 to 0.42 (7,14). At baseline each girl wore the activity monitor against the right hip bone for six complete days except while sleeping or during any water activity (e.g., bathing, swimming). Official data collection for the study began at 6:00 a.m. on the day after the monitors were distributed. Downloading of data from the ActiGraph to laptops occurred immediately upon removal of the monitor or within the next 24 h, and data were transmitted to the Coordinating Center according to standardized procedures using the TAAG Data Management System (DMS).

Accelerometer readings were processed using methods similar to those reported by Puyau et al. (25). Cut points for sedentary (< 50 counts/30 s), light (51-1499), moderate (1500-2600), and vigorous (> 2600) activity were based on an earlier pilot study that related accelerometer counts to MET levels across a range of activities (34). Half-minute counts were used instead of full-minute counts based on the expectation that they would be more sensitive to fluctuations in activity levels. Occasional missing accelerometry data within a girl's 6-d record were replaced via imputation based on the expectation maximization (EM) algorithm (6). On average, approximately 12 h (11%) of data per person were imputed. For the MET-minutes of MVPA measure, counts above 1500 per half minute were converted into metabolic equivalents (METs) using a regression equation developed from a second pilot study for TAAG (30). The METs were summed over the 6:00 a.m. to midnight day to provide MET-minutes per day of MVPA, where 1 MET min represented the metabolic equivalent of energy expended sitting at rest for 1 min.

**3DPAR.** The primary objective of the 3DPAR is to measure the types and contexts of physical activity as self-reported by participants. Immediately on completion of accelerometry, participants were administered the 3DPAR in a group setting that took about 45 min. For each of the past 3 d, the students were asked to recall what activity they did for every 30-min interval throughout the day. Codes for the girls to use in recording "activity number," "with whom" and "where" were provided in a coding instruction sheet. In addition to the 3-d recall, students were asked how frequently they rode their bike to and from school and/or walked to and from school during the previous 7 d. Forms were reviewed by

TAAG staff for completeness and clarity, and girls were asked to correct any noted problems. Test–retest reliability of the 3DPAR among girls was 0.707 for MVPA and 0.771 for VPA (18). The 3DPAR has also been validated against pedometer counts, accelerometer counts, and heart rate monitors. These validity measures were 0.88 for step counts, 0.77 for accelerometer counts, and 0.53–0.63 for heart rate monitors (24).

**BMI.** Both height and weight were measured twice using a Shorr height board and a Seca Model 880 weight scale. Triceps skinfolds were measured three times with Lange skinfold calipers. Height measurements were repeated if the difference between the two measurements was > 1 cm. Weight measurements were repeated if the difference was > 0.5 kg, and the three triceps measurements were repeated if (maximum - minimum)/minimum was > 0.20. Girls were evaluated in their bare feet or socks after removing all excess clothing and any heavy accessories.

MSQ. The MSQ was developed by a TAAG working group for the purpose of measuring mediators, moderators, and secondary outcomes as specified by the TAAG theoretical model. Variables included in the MSQ were initially pilot tested for timing, readability, and adequacy of questions. Another pilot study tested the revised version of the MSQ using confirmatory factor analyses, Cronbach alpha, test-retest reliability, and intraclass correlations (3). Because the CES-D is a published instrument with adequate psychometric data for adolescent girls, it was not included in pilot testing. MSQ variables measured at TAAG baseline that could be associated with or mediate physical activity levels and/or depressive symptoms and selected for this study were: demographic data (7 items); enjoyment of PE (1 item with Likert scale response from *disagree a lot* to *agree* a lot); enjoyment of physical activity (7 items with Likert scale responses ranging from *disagree a lot* to *agree a lot*); participation in sports teams and/or lessons in and outside of school (checklist of 15 and 18 items, respectively); social support for physical activity (4 items for friends and 5 items for family with Likert scale responses ranging from never to every day); home alone without adult supervision (2 frequency items); barriers to physical activity (10 items with Likert scale responses ranging from never to very often); and change strategies for physical activity (9 items with 5-point Likert scale responses from never to very often). Internal consistency coefficients for enjoyment, social support, barriers and change strategies ranged from 0.46 (barriers) to 0.86 (enjoyment). Factor validity for these variables ranged from 0.96 (social support) to 0.99 (enjoyment and change strategies). Confirmatory factor analyses indicated that the theoretical relations among the items from each variable were similar across racial groups.

## **Statistical Analysis Methods**

Simple descriptive statistical methods were used to characterize the sample with regard to the independent and dependent variables. Descriptive statistics were calculated by site, as well as for the overall sample, to provide some indication of variability among the sites. Methods included sample means with standard deviations and frequency distributions.

Mixed-model linear regression analyses were used to evaluate the relationship between physical activity and CES-D score modeled as a continuous variable, with separate analyses for each of nine measures of physical activity (five based on accelerometry, four based on selfreport). We fit a crude model first, predicting CES-D from physical activity. Second, we added seven demographic variables: race, mother's education, father's education, household structure, participation in the free or reduced lunch program, mother's employment status, and father's employment status. Nonsignificant terms were removed until all remaining terms were significant at P < 0.10. The third model added the following variables: enjoyment of physical education, enjoyment of physical activity, body mass index, participation in sports programs in school, participation in sports programs out of school, participation in physical activity lessons, social support for physical activity, barriers to physical activity, time spent home alone after school, and strategies to change physical activity. Again, nonsignificant terms were removed until all remaining terms reached a *P* value of < 0.10.

Mixed-model logistic regression analyses were used to evaluate the relationship between physical activity and the likelihood of having a CES-D score of 24 or higher. The model-building process for the logistic models followed the same pattern as for the linear models.

For both models, site and school within site were included in all analyses as random effects to account for correlation among observations taken on girls who lived in the same city and among girls who attended the same school. SAS version 8.2 was used for all analyses.

## RESULTS

#### **Sample Characteristics**

A total of 1721 sixth-grade girls participated in the TAAG baseline measurement. Only the girls who completed measurement of the main variables of interest, the CES-D, the 3DPAR, and accelerometry, were selected for this study (N = 1397). The sociodemographic descriptors of this sample are presented in Table 1. Overall, 46.7% of participants were white, with an average age of 12.0 yr. Average ages were fairly consistent across sites ranging from 11.7 yr at the Maryland site to 12.2 yr at the Louisiana site. A measure of socioeconomic status for the school was the percentage of girls on free or reduced lunch, which overall was 41.1%, with the Louisiana site having the largest percentage of girls on free or reduced lunch (75.4%). The majority of girls (72.2%) lived with both parents, and both mothers and fathers were generally well educated, with 34.1% of mothers and 30.8% of fathers having a college

#### DEPRESSIVE SYMPTOMS AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Demographic Characteristic   AZ (N = 216)   CA (N = 267)   MD (N = 260)   SC (N = 175)   LA (N = 273)   Overall (N = 1399)     Mean (SD) age (yr)   11.9 (0.3)   11.8 (0.3)   11.7 (0.3)   12.0 (0.3)   12.0 (0.4)   12.2 (0.71)   12.0 (0.5)     Recetthinkity     Non (N = 260)   92 (52.6)   42 (15.4)   652 (46.7)     Black   7 (3.2)   10 (6.0)   44 (21.6)   5 (1.9)   55 (31.4)   148 (64.4)   275 (197)     Asian   6 (2.8)   107 (40.1)   32 (15.7)   26 (9.9)   84 (4.6)   50 (1.9)   65 (37.6)   205 (7.4)   103 (21.7)     Asian   6 (2.8)   12 (4.5)   14 (6.9)   9 (3.4)   42 (3.3)   10 (3.7)   55 (3.9)     American Indian   31.(4.1)   10.60 (0.4)   21 (1.0)   17 (6.5)   14 (8.0)   20 (7.4)   103 (8.1)     Noticorit Ikowa   141 (65.6)   199 (7.7)   143 (7.9)   210 (8.3)   113 (69.3)   137 (55.5)   66 (7.2)   13 (6.6)   137 (7.2)   12 (2.4)   12 (2.4)   12 (2.4) <td< th=""><th></th><th colspan="7">Study Site</th></td<>		Study Site						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Demographic Characteristic	AZ ( <i>N</i> = 216)	CA ( <i>N</i> = 267)	MD ( <i>N</i> = 204)	MN ( <i>N</i> = 262)	SC ( <i>N</i> = 175)	LA ( <i>N</i> = 273)	Overall ( <i>N</i> = 1397)
Network   N (%)     White   117 (54.2)   99 (37.1)   97 (47.6)   20 (52.6)   42 (15.4)   652 (46.7)     Black   7 (3.2)   16 (60)   44 (21.6)   5 (1.9)   55 (31.4)   148 (54.4)   275 (19.7)     Latino   78 (36.1)   110 (40.1)   32 (15.7)   26 (9.9)   8 (4.6)   52 (1.1)   303 (21.7)     American Indian   3 (1.4)   1 (0.4)   2 (1.0)   —   2 (1.1)   —   8 (0.6)     Multiratial   5 (2.3)   32 (21.2)   15 (7.4)   17 (6.5)   14 (8.0)   20 (7.4)   103 (8.1)     Treerieduced lunch   =   =   =   =   =   =   =   =   =   =   =   =   =   173 (9.9)   210 (83.0)   113 (69.3)   317 (55.5)   961 (72.2)     Mother only   56 (16.7)   60 (23.1)   49 (24.6)   39 (15.4)   40 (5.6)   41 (6.6)   22 (1.7)     Notdort throw   16 (9.5)   16 (2.	Mean (SD) age (yr)	11.9 (0.35)	11.8 (0.38)	11.7 (0.39)	12.0 (0.36)	12.0 (0.41)	12.2 (0.71)	12.0 (0.50)
Hacebranchy white hack for the second secon					N (%)			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Race/ethnicity		aa (a= 1)					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	White	117 (54.2)	99 (37.1)	97 (47.6)	205 (78.2)	92 (52.6)	42 (15.4)	652 (46.7)
Latino 78 (36.1) 107 (40.1) 32 (15.7) 26 (9.9) 8 (4.6) 52 (19.1) 303 (21.7) Asian 6 (2.8) 12 (4.5) 14 (6.9) 9 (3.4) 4 (2.3) 10 (3.7) 55 (3.9) American Indian 3 (1.4) 1 (0.4) 2 (1.0) $-$ 2 (1.1) $-$ 8 (0.6) Multiracial 5 (2.3) 32 (12.0) 15 (7.4) 17 (6.5) 14 (8.0) 20 (7.4) 103 (8.1) Free/reduced lunch Yes 74 (34.4) 105 (39.6) 60 (29.6) 60 (23.3) 65 (37.6) 205 (75.4) 569 (41.1) Nov/ton't know 141 (65.6) 160 (06.4) 143 (70.4) 198 (76.7) 108 (62.4) 67 (24.6) 877 (59.0) Household structure Both parents 169 (80.5) 189 (72.7) 143 (71.9) 210 (83.0) 113 (69.3) 137 (55.5) 961 (72.2) Mother only 35 (16.7) 60 (23.1) 49 (24.6) 39 (15.4) 45 (27.6) 89 (36.0) 317 (23.8) Father only 4 (1.9) 5 (1.9) 2 (1.0) 3 (1.2) 4 (2.5) 4 (1.6) 22 (1.7) Neither 12 (1.0) 6 (2.3) 5 (2.5) 1 (0.4) 1 (0.6) 17 (6.9) 32 (2.4) Mother's education - (High school 14 (6.5) 24 (9.1) 6 (2.9) 9 (3.5) 11 (6.3) 30 (11.0) 94 (6.76) High school/GED 25 (11.6) 35 (13.3) 32 (15.7) 27 (10.4) 23 (13.1) 44 (16.1) 186 (13.4) Vocational tech/some Colleage agree 85 (33.5) 84 (31.8) 91 (44.6) 102 (39.2) 68 (38.9) 44 (16.1) 474 (34.1) Other <sup>1</sup> 7 (13.0) 95 (36.0) 62 (30.4) 82 (31.5) 60 (34.3) 117 (42.9) 487 (35.0) Father's education - (High school/GED 16 (5.2) 22 (8.4) 12 (5.9) 23 (9.0) 15 (8.6) 23 (8.1.1) 146 (12.0) > Colleage degree 85 (33.5) 84 (31.8) 91 (44.6) 102 (39.2) 68 (38.9) 44 (16.1) 474 (34.1) Other <sup>1</sup> 7 (13.0) 95 (36.0) 62 (30.4) 82 (31.5) 60 (34.3) 117 (42.9) 487 (35.0) Father's education - (High school/GED 18 (8.5) 36 (13.7) 20 (9.8) 32 (12.5) 28 (16.1) 32 (11.8) 166 (12.0) Vocational tech/some - Colleage degree 89 (41.8) 71 (27.0) 74 (36.3) 102 (39.7) 46 (25.4) 44 (16.2) 426 (30.8) High school/GED 18 (8.5) 36 (13.7) 20 (9.8) 32 (12.5) 28 (16.1) 32 (11.1) 46 (6.8) High school/GED 18 (8.5) 36 (13.7) 20 (9.8) 32 (12.5) 28 (16.1) 32 (11.8) 166 (12.0) Vocational tech/some - Colleage degree 89 (41.8) 71 (27.0) 74 (36.3) 102 (39.7) 46 (25.4) 44 (16.2) 426 (30.8) Home 25 (11.6) 21 (22.6) 44 (22.5) 74 (22.6) 33 (19.4) 68 (25.3) 335 (24.	Black	7 (3.2)	16 (6.0)	44 (21.6)	5 (1.9)	55 (31.4)	148 (54.4)	275 (19.7)
Asian6 (2.8)12 (4.5)14 (6.9)9 (3.4)4 (2.3)10 (3.7)55 (3.9)American Indian3 (1.4)1 (0.4)2 (1.0)-2 (1.1)-8 (0.6)Multiracial5 (2.3)32 (12.0)15 (7.4)17 (6.5)14 (8.0)20 (7.4)103 (8.1)Free/reduced lunch106 (02.4)165 (37.6)205 (75.4)569 (41.1)No/don't know141 (65.6)160 (60.4)143 (70.4)198 (76.7)108 (62.4)67 (24.6)87 (59.0)Household structure41 (1.6)127 (23.6)137 (55.5)961 (72.2)Mother only35 (16.7)60 (23.1)49 (24.6)39 (15.4)45 (27.6)89 (36.0)317 (23.8)Father only4 (1.9)5 (1.9)2 (1.0)3 (1.2)4 (2.5)4 (1.6)22 (1.7)Neither2 (1.0)6 (2.3)5 (2.5)1 (0.4)1 (0.6)17 (6.9)32 (2.4)Wother's education< High school/GED	Latino	78 (36.1)	107 (40.1)	32 (15.7)	26 (9.9)	8 (4.6)	52 (19.1)	303 (21.7)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Asian	6 (2.8)	12 (4.5)	14 (6.9)	9 (3.4)	4 (2.3)	10 (3.7)	55 (3.9)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	American Indian	3 (1.4)	1 (0.4)	2 (1.0)		2 (1.1)		8 (0.6)
Free/reduced funch     Yes   74 (34.4)   105 (39.6)   60 (29.6)   60 (23.3)   65 (37.6)   205 (75.4)   669 (41.1)     No/don't know   141 (65.6)   160 (60.4)   143 (70.4)   198 (76.7)   108 (62.4)   67 (24.6)   817 (55.0)     Both parents   159 (80.5)   189 (72.7)   143 (71.9)   210 (83.0)   113 (69.3)   137 (55.5)   961 (72.2)     Mother only   35 (16.7)   60 (23.1)   49 (24.6)   39 (15.4)   45 (27.6)   89 (36.0)   317 (23.8)     Father only   4 (1.9)   5 (1.9)   2 (1.0)   3 (1.2)   4 (2.5)   4 (1.6)   22 (1.7)     Neither   2 (1.0)   6 (2.3)   32 (15.7)   27 (10.4)   23 (13.1)   44 (16.1)   186 (13.4)     Vocational tech/some	Multiracial	5 (2.3)	32 (12.0)	15 (7.4)	17 (6.5)	14 (8.0)	20 (7.4)	103 (8.1)
Yes74 (34.4)105 (39.6)60 (29.6)60 (23.3)65 (37.6)205 (75.4)569 (41.1)No/don't know141 (65.6)160 (60.4)143 (70.4)198 (76.7)108 (62.4)67 (24.6)817 (59.0)Household structureBoth parents169 (80.5)189 (72.7)143 (71.9)210 (83.0)113 (69.3)137 (55.5)961 (72.2)Mother only35 (16.7)60 (23.1)49 (24.6)39 (15.4)45 (27.6)89 (36.0)317 (23.8)Father only4 (1.9)5 (1.9)2 (1.0)3 (1.2)4 (2.5)4 (1.6)22 (1.7)Neither2 (1.0)6 (2.3)5 (2.5)1 (0.4)1 (0.6)17 (6.9)32 (2.4)Mother's education	Free/reduced lunch							
No/don't know   141 (65.6)   160 (60.4)   143 (70.4)   198 (76.7)   108 (62.4)   67 (24.6)   817 (59.0)     Household structure   Both parents   169 (80.5)   189 (72.7)   143 (71.9)   210 (83.0)   113 (69.3)   137 (55.5)   961 (72.2)     Mother only   35 (16.7)   60 (23.1)   49 (24.6)   39 (15.4)   45 (27.6)   89 (36.0)   317 (23.8)     Father only   4 (1.9)   5 (1.9)   2 (1.0)   3 (12.2)   4 (2.5)   4 (1.6)   22 (1.7)     Neither   2 (1.0)   6 (2.3)   5 (2.5)   1 (0.4)   1 (0.6)   17 (6.9)   32 (2.4)     Mother's education	Yes	74 (34.4)	105 (39.6)	60 (29.6)	60 (23.3)	65 (37.6)	205 (75.4)	569 (41.1)
Household structure Both parents 169 (80.5) 189 (72.7) 143 (71.9) 210 (83.0) 113 (69.3) 137 (55.5) 961 (72.2) Mother only 35 (16.7) 60 (23.1) 49 (24.6) 39 (15.4) 45 (27.6) 89 (36.0) 317 (23.8) Father only 4 (1.9) 5 (1.9) 2 (1.0) 3 (1.2) 4 (2.5) 4 (1.6) 22 (1.7) Neither 2 (1.0) 6 (2.3) 5 (2.5) 1 (0.4) 1 (0.6) 17 (6.9) 32 (2.4) Mother's education < High school 14 (6.5) 24 (9.1) 6(2.9) 9 (3.5) 11 (6.3) 30 (11.0) 94 (6.76) High school/GED 25 (11.6) 35 (13.3) 32 (15.7) 27 (10.4) 23 (13.1) 44 (16.1) 186 (13.4) Vocational tech/some College 20 (9.3) 26 (9.9) 13 (6.4) 40 (15.4) 13 (7.4) 38 (13.9) 150 (10.8) > College degree 85 (39.5) 84 (31.8) 91 (44.6) 102 (39.2) 68 (38.9) 44 (16.1) 474 (34.1) Other' 71 (33.0) 95 (36.0) 62 (30.4) 82 (31.5) 60 (34.3) 117 (42.9) 487 (35.0) Father's education 	No/don't know	141 (65.6)	160 (60.4)	143 (70.4)	198 (76.7)	108 (62.4)	67 (24.6)	817 (59.0)
Both parents169 (80.5)189 (72.7)143 (71.9)210 (83.0)113 (69.3)137 (55.5)961 (72.2)Mother only35 (16.7)60 (23.1)49 (24.6)39 (15.4)45 (27.6)89 (36.0)317 (23.8)Father only4 (1.9)5 (1.9)2 (1.0)3 (1.2)4 (2.5)4 (1.6)22 (1.7)Neither2 (1.0)6 (2.3)5 (2.5)1 (0.4)1 (0.6)17 (6.9)32 (2.4)Mother's education	Household structure							
Mother only35 (16.7)60 (23.1)49 (24.6)39 (15.4)45 (27.6)89 (36.0)317 (23.8)Father only4 (1.9)5 (1.9)2 (1.0)3 (1.2)4 (2.5)4 (1.6)22 (1.7)Neither2 (1.0)6 (2.3)5 (2.5)1 (0.4)1 (0.6)17 (6.9)32 (2.4)Mother's education	Both parents	169 (80.5)	189 (72.7)	143 (71.9)	210 (83.0)	113 (69.3)	137 (55.5)	961 (72.2)
Father only4 (1.9)5 (1.9)2 (1.0)3 (1.2)4 (2.5)4 (1.6)22 (1.7)Neither2 (1.0)6 (2.3)5 (2.5)1 (0.4)1 (0.6)17 (6.9)32 (2.4)Mother's education	Mother only	35 (16.7)	60 (23.1)	49 (24.6)	39 (15.4)	45 (27.6)	89 (36.0)	317 (23.8)
Neither2 (1.0)6 (2.3)5 (2.5)1 (0.4)1 (0.6)17 (6.9)32 (2.4)Mother's education< High school	Father only	4 (1.9)	5 (1.9)	2 (1.0)	3 (1.2)	4 (2.5)	4 (1.6)	22 (1.7)
Mother's education      < High school	Neither	2 (1.0)	6 (2.3)	5 (2.5)	1 (0.4)	1 (0.6)	17 (6.9)	32 (2.4)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mother's education							
High school/GED25 (11.6)35 (13.3)32 (15.7)27 (10.4)23 (13.1)44 (16.1)186 (13.4)Vocational tech/someCollege20 (9.3)26 (9.9)13 (6.4)40 (15.4)13 (7.4)38 (13.9)150 (10.8)> College degree85 (39.5)84 (31.8)91 (44.6)102 (39.2)68 (38.9)44 (16.1)474 (34.1)Other171 (33.0)95 (36.0)62 (30.4)82 (31.5)60 (34.3)117 (42.9)487 (35.0)Father's education12 (5.9)6 (2.3)13 (7.5)30 (11.1)94 (6.8)High school/GED18 (8.5)36 (13.7)20 (9.8)32 (12.5)28 (16.1)32 (11.8)166 (12.0)Vocational tech/some12 (5.9)23 (9.0)15 (8.6)23 (8.5)110 (8.0)> College15 (7.0)22 (8.4)12 (5.9)23 (9.0)15 (8.6)23 (8.5)110 (8.0)> College degree89 (41.8)71 (27.0)74 (36.3)102 (39.7)46 (26.4)44 (16.2)426 (30.8)Other380 (37.6)112 (42.6)86 (42.2)94 (36.6)72 (41.4)142 (52.4)586 (42.4)Mother's employment13 (6.4)19 (7.3)10 (5.9)10 (3.7)102 (7.4)Part-time50 (23.3)62 (23.6)48 (23.5)74 (28.5)33 (19.4)68 (25.3)335 (24.3)Home25 (11.6)25 (9.5)13 (6.4)19 (7.3)10 (5.9)10 (3.7)102 (7.4)Une	< High school	14 (6.5)	24 (9.1)	6(2.9)	9 (3.5)	11 (6.3)	30 (11.0)	94 (6.76)
Vocational tech/some College20 (9.3)26 (9.9)13 (6.4)40 (15.4)13 (7.4)38 (13.9)150 (10.8)> College degree85 (39.5)84 (31.8)91 (44.6)102 (39.2)68 (38.9)44 (16.1)474 (34.1)Other <sup>1</sup> 71 (33.0)95 (36.0)62 (30.4)82 (31.5)60 (34.3)117 (42.9)487 (35.0)Father's education< High school	High school/GED	25 (11.6)	35 (13.3)	32 (15.7)	27 (10.4)	23 (13.1)	44 (16.1)	186 (13.4)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Vocational tech/some							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	College	20 (9.3)	26 (9.9)	13 (6.4)	40 (15.4)	13 (7.4)	38 (13.9)	150 (10.8)
Other171 (33.0)95 (36.0)62 (30.4)82 (31.5)60 (34.3)117 (42.9)487 (35.0)Father's education< High school	> College degree	85 (39.5)	84 (31.8)	91 (44.6)	102 (39.2)	68 (38.9)	44 (16.1)	474 (34.1)
Father's education $(-1)^{-1}$ $($	Other <sup>1</sup>	71 (33.0)	95 (36.0)	62 (30.4)	82 (31.5)	60 (34.3)	117 (42.9)	487 (35.0)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Father's education	( )	( <i>'</i>	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )
High school/GED18 (8.5)36 (13.7)20 (9.8)32 (12.5)28 (16.1)32 (11.8)166 (12.0)Vocational tech/someCollege15 (7.0)22 (8.4)12 (5.9)23 (9.0)15 (8.6)23 (8.5)110 (8.0)> College degree89 (41.8)71 (27.0)74 (36.3)102 (39.7)46 (26.4)44 (16.2)426 (30.8)Other <sup>a</sup> 80 (37.6)112 (42.6)86 (42.2)94 (36.6)72 (41.4)142 (52.4)586 (42.4)Mother's employmentFull-time86 (40.0)111 (42.2)117 (57.4)132 (50.8)95 (55.9)128 (47.6)669 (48.4)Part-time50 (23.3)62 (23.6)48 (23.5)74 (28.5)33 (19.4)68 (25.3)335 (24.3)Home25 (11.6)25 (9.5)13 (6.4)19 (7.3)10 (5.9)10 (3.7)102 (7.4)Unemployed21 (9.8)27 (10.3)10 (4.9)14 (5.4)19 (11.2)20(7.4)111 (8.0)Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)38 (14.5)16 (7.8)21 (8.1)13 (7.7)43 (16.0)164 (11.9)Father's employmentTT156 (60.0)152 (75.3)196 (76.6)112 (66.3)162 (61.4)939 (69.0)Collection41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)Collection41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)Collection41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)41 (4.5)Collection<	< High school	11 (5.2)	22 (8.4)	12 (5.9)	6 (2.3)	13 (7.5)	30 (11.1)	94 (6.8)
Vocational tech/some College 15 (7.0) 22 (8.4) 12 (5.9) 23 (9.0) 15 (8.6) 23 (8.5) 110 (8.0)   > College degree 89 (41.8) 71 (27.0) 74 (36.3) 102 (39.7) 46 (26.4) 44 (16.2) 426 (30.8)   Other <sup>a</sup> 80 (37.6) 112 (42.6) 86 (42.2) 94 (36.6) 72 (41.4) 142 (52.4) 586 (42.4)   Mother's employment Full-time 86 (40.0) 111 (42.2) 117 (57.4) 132 (50.8) 95 (55.9) 128 (47.6) 669 (48.4)   Part-time 50 (23.3) 62 (23.6) 48 (23.5) 74 (28.5) 33 (19.4) 68 (25.3) 335 (24.3)   Home 25 (11.6) 25 (9.5) 13 (6.4) 19 (7.3) 10 (5.9) 10 (3.7) 102 (7.4)   Unemployed 21 (9.8) 27 (10.3) 10 (4.9) 14 (5.4) 19 (11.2) 20(7.4) 111 (8.0)   Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4) 38 (14.5) 16 (7.8) 21 (8.1) 13 (7.7) 43 (16.0) 164 (11.9)   Father's employment Full-time 161 (76.7) 156 (60.0) 152 (75.3) 196 (76.6) 112 (66.3) 162 (61.4	High school/GED	18 (8.5)	36 (13.7)	20 (9.8)	32 (12.5)	28 (16.1)	32 (11.8)	166 (12.0)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vocational tech/some	- ( /		- ()	- ( - )	- ( - )	- ( - /	
> College degree   89 (41.8)   71 (27.0)   74 (36.3)   102 (39.7)   46 (26.4)   44 (16.2)   426 (30.8)     Other <sup>a</sup> 80 (37.6)   112 (42.6)   86 (42.2)   94 (36.6)   72 (41.4)   142 (52.4)   586 (42.4)     Mother's employment   Full-time   86 (40.0)   111 (42.2)   117 (57.4)   132 (50.8)   95 (55.9)   128 (47.6)   669 (48.4)     Part-time   50 (23.3)   62 (23.6)   48 (23.5)   74 (28.5)   33 (19.4)   68 (25.3)   335 (24.3)     Home   25 (11.6)   25 (9.5)   13 (6.4)   19 (7.3)   10 (5.9)   10 (3.7)   102 (7.4)     Unemployed   21 (9.8)   27 (10.3)   10 (4.9)   14 (5.4)   19 (11.2)   20(7.4)   111 (8.0)     Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)   38 (14.5)   16 (7.8)   21 (8.1)   13 (7.7)   43 (16.0)   164 (11.9)     Father's employment   Full-time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	College	15 (7.0)	22 (8.4)	12 (5.9)	23 (9.0)	15 (8.6)	23 (8.5)	110 (8.0)
Other <sup>a</sup> 80 (37.6)   112 (42.6)   86 (42.2)   94 (36.6)   72 (41.4)   142 (52.4)   586 (42.4)     Mother's employment   Full-time   86 (40.0)   111 (42.2)   117 (57.4)   132 (50.8)   95 (55.9)   128 (47.6)   669 (48.4)     Part-time   50 (23.3)   62 (23.6)   48 (23.5)   74 (28.5)   33 (19.4)   68 (25.3)   335 (24.3)     Home   25 (11.6)   25 (9.5)   13 (6.4)   19 (7.3)   10 (5.9)   10 (3.7)   102 (7.4)     Unemployed   21 (9.8)   27 (10.3)   10 (4.9)   14 (5.4)   19 (11.2)   20(7.4)   111 (8.0)     Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)   38 (14.5)   16 (7.8)   21 (8.1)   13 (7.7)   43 (16.0)   164 (11.9)     Father's employment   Full-time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)     Patter's employment   141 (45.0)   141 (40.4)   141 (40.4)   141 (40.4)   141 (40.4)   142 (60.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	> College degree	89 (41.8)	71 (27.0)	74 (36.3)	102 (39.7)	46 (26.4)	44 (16.2)	426 (30.8)
Mother's employment   Full-time   86 (40.0)   111 (42.2)   117 (57.4)   132 (50.8)   95 (55.9)   128 (47.6)   669 (48.4)     Part-time   50 (23.3)   62 (23.6)   48 (23.5)   74 (28.5)   33 (19.4)   68 (25.3)   335 (24.3)     Home   25 (11.6)   25 (9.5)   13 (6.4)   19 (7.3)   10 (5.9)   10 (3.7)   102 (7.4)     Unemployed   21 (9.8)   27 (10.3)   10 (4.9)   14 (5.4)   19 (11.2)   20(7.4)   111 (8.0)     Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)   38 (14.5)   16 (7.8)   21 (8.1)   13 (7.7)   43 (16.0)   164 (11.9)     Father's employment   Full-time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	Other <sup>a</sup>	80 (37.6)	112 (42.6)	86 (42.2)	94 (36.6)	72 (41.4)	142 (52.4)	586 (42.4)
Full-time   86 (40.0)   111 (42.2)   117 (57.4)   132 (50.8)   95 (55.9)   128 (47.6)   669 (48.4)     Part-time   50 (23.3)   62 (23.6)   48 (23.5)   74 (28.5)   33 (19.4)   68 (25.3)   335 (24.3)     Home   25 (11.6)   25 (9.5)   13 (6.4)   19 (7.3)   10 (5.9)   10 (3.7)   102 (7.4)     Unemployed   21 (9.8)   27 (10.3)   10 (4.9)   14 (5.4)   19 (11.2)   20(7.4)   111 (8.0)     Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)   38 (14.5)   16 (7.8)   21 (8.1)   13 (7.7)   43 (16.0)   164 (11.9)     Father's employment   Full-time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)     Pat time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	Mother's employment			••• ( ·=·=)	- ()	()		
Part-time   50 (23.3)   62 (23.6)   48 (23.5)   74 (28.5)   33 (19.4)   68 (25.3)   335 (24.3)     Home   25 (11.6)   25 (9.5)   13 (6.4)   19 (7.3)   10 (5.9)   10 (3.7)   102 (7.4)     Unemployed   21 (9.8)   27 (10.3)   10 (4.9)   14 (5.4)   19 (11.2)   20(7.4)   111 (8.0)     Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)   38 (14.5)   16 (7.8)   21 (8.1)   13 (7.7)   43 (16.0)   164 (11.9)     Father's employment   Full-time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)     Dot time   101 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	Full-time	86 (40.0)	111 (42 2)	117 (57 4)	132 (50.8)	95 (55.9)	128 (47 6)	669 (48 4)
Home   25 (11.6)   25 (9.5)   13 (6.4)   19 (7.3)   10 (5.9)   10 (3.7)   102 (7.4)     Unemployed   21 (9.8)   27 (10.3)   10 (4.9)   14 (5.4)   19 (11.2)   20(7.4)   111 (8.0)     Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)   38 (14.5)   16 (7.8)   21 (8.1)   13 (7.7)   43 (16.0)   164 (11.9)     Father's employment   Full-time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)     Det time   10 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	Part-time	50 (23.3)	62 (23.6)	48 (23.5)	74 (28.5)	33 (19.4)	68 (25.3)	335 (24.3)
Initial   Initial <t< td=""><td>Home</td><td>25 (11.6)</td><td>25 (9.5)</td><td>13 (6 4)</td><td>19 (7.3)</td><td>10 (5 9)</td><td>10 (3 7)</td><td>102 (7.4)</td></t<>	Home	25 (11.6)	25 (9.5)	13 (6 4)	19 (7.3)	10 (5 9)	10 (3 7)	102 (7.4)
Other <sup>b</sup> 33 (15.4)   38 (14.5)   16 (7.8)   21 (8.1)   13 (7.7)   43 (16.0)   164 (11.9)     Father's employment   Full-time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)     Det first   10 (75.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	Inemployed	21 (9.8)	27 (10.3)	10 (4 9)	14 (5.4)	19 (11 2)	20(7.4)	111 (8.0)
Father's employment   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)     Data time   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	Other <sup>b</sup>	33 (15.4)	38 (14.5)	16 (7.8)	21 (8 1)	13 (7 7)	43 (16.0)	164 (11.9)
Full   161 (76.7)   156 (60.0)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)     Det time   10 (5.7)   14 (5.7)   14 (5.7)   14 (5.7)   152 (75.3)   196 (76.6)   112 (66.3)   162 (61.4)   939 (69.0)	Father's employment	00 (10.1)	00 (11.0)	10 (1.0)	21 (0.1)	10 (1.17)	10 (10.0)	101 (11.0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Full-time	161 (76 7)	156 (60.0)	152 (75.3)	196 (76 6)	112 (66 3)	162 (61 /)	030 (60 0)
Pan-nime $(12.15.7)$ 41 (15.8) 21 (11.4) 21 (8.2) 18 (11.7) 29 (11.11) 142 (11.4)	Part-time	12 (5 7)	41 (15.8)	21 (10.4)	21 (8 2)	18 (10.3)	29 (11 0)	142 (10.4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Other <sup>c</sup>	37 (17.6)	63 (24 23)	29 (14 4)	39 (15 2)	39 (23 1	73 (27 7)	280 (20 6)
			00 (27.20)	20 (17.7)	00 (10.2)	00 (20.1	10 (21.1)	200 (20.0)

<sup>b</sup> Other includes disabled, retired, and don't know.

<sup>c</sup> Other includes not working outside the home, unemployed, disabled/not working, retired, and don't know.

degree and/or education beyond college. A near majority of mothers (48.4%) and a majority of fathers (69.0%) worked full-time. Two thirds of the girls reported either very little or no time home alone; however, more than one third were home alone at least one or more hours a day.

## **Depressive Symptomatology**

The CES-D was analyzed for internal consistency, and the resulting Cronbach's alpha was 0.88. The means and standard deviations for the CES-D (Table 2) are presented both for CES-D as a continuous score as well as after categorizing the CES-D using a cut point of 24, with 0 = less than 24, and 1 = greater than or equal to 24. The mean overall CES-D score for the continuous presentation was 14.7 and ranged across sites from 12.2 (Minnesota) to 17.9 (Louisiana). The average proportion of participants with scores greater than or equal to 24 was 18%, with a range of 11% to 29% for the Minnesota and Louisiana sites, respectively.

## **Physical Activity**

Table 2 also presents the means and standard deviations for physical activity measured by accelerometry and selfreported with the 3DPAR. Accelerometry data were skewed with an overall average of almost 460 min·d<sup>-1</sup> spent in sedentary behavior and an average of about 342 min for light physical activity. Fewer than 24 (23.8) minutes per day were spent in moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA), and fewer than 6 (5.6) minutes per day were spent in vigorous physical activity (VPA).

The 3DPAR was analyzed in 30-min segments, which were categorized according to the MET level assigned to the intensity-weighted activity in those segments (Table 2). The criterion for MVPA was METs = 3.0, and any segments with MET activities less than 3.0 were excluded. The average number of segments with METs greater than 3.0 was 3.9 while only 1.2 segments had METs greater than 6.5.

FABLE 2. Means and standard deviations for measurements o	of physical activity	(3DPAR and CSA) ar	ind depressive symptoms	(CES-D) by site
---	----------------------	--------------------	-------------------------	-----------------

	Site							
Variable Mean (SD)	AZ	CA	MD	MN	SC	LA	Overall	
3DPAR* (30-min segments)								
MVPA (METs $> 3.0$ )	3.82 (2.5)	3.92 (2.7)	3.85 (2.8)	3.85 (2.7)	3.28 (2.6)	4.17 (2.9)	3.85 (2.7)	
MVPA (METs $> 4.6$ )	2.03 (1.8)	1.66 (1.6)	1.83 (2.3)	1.71 (2.0)	1.59 (1.8)	1.84 (2.2)	1.78 (2.0)	
VPA (METs > 6.0)	1.57 (1.5)	1.22 (1.4)	1.46 (2.0)	1.50 (1.8)	1.21 (1.7)	1.47 (1.9)	1.41 (1.7)	
VPA (METs > 6.5)	1.33 (1.4)	1.09 (1.3)	1.23 (1.7)	1.29 (1.7)	1.09 (1.6)	1.19 (1.6)	1.21 (1.5)	
Accelerometer (average)								
Min·d <sup>−1</sup>	438.14 (66.1)	453.59 (61.7)	471.30 (75.3)	457.70 (65.0)	473.20 (75.4)	467.05 (69.5)	459.65 (69.3)	
Sedentary	346.14 (55.3)	347.36 (48.7)	332.04 (53.4)	349.89 (53.2)	317.84 (62.0)	350.53 (63.1)	342.33 (57.0)	
Light	27.88 (13.3)	7.23 (11.2)	22.33 (12.5)	22.78 (10.3)	19.94 (10.1)	21.69 (11.9)	23.78 (11.9)	
MVPA	6.97 (5.8)	6.78 (4.1)	5.09 (4.5)	4.69 (3.5)	4.82 (4.1)	5.23 (4.6)	5.62 (4.6)	
VPA	174.78 (96.8)	167.78 (74.1)	136.88 (83.8)	134.63 (63.7)	25.59 (77.9)	135.69 (82.4)	146.58 (81.7)	
MET MVPA								
CES-D mean score (SD)	<i>N</i> = 216	N = 267	N = 204	N = 262	N = 175	N = 273	N = 1397	
Continuous	14.38 (8.52)	14.10 (8.28)	15.42 (10.17)	12.21 (8.56)	14.23 (9.59)	17.89 (9.56)	14.74 (9.25)	
Categorical > 24	0.15 (0.36)	0.15 (0.35)	0.21 (0.41)	0.11 (0.32)	0.15 (0.36)	0.29 (0.45)	0.18 (0.38)	
BMI	19.93 (4.6)	20.56 (4.5)	20.90 (5.2)	19.84 (3.6)	21.61 (5.8)	21.83 (5.1)	20.77 (4.8)	

3DPAR, time-based approach to physical activity recall from the previous 3 d; MVPA, moderate to vigorous physical activity; VPA, vigorous physical activity; BMI, body mass index.

## Relationship between Physical Activity and Depressive Symptoms

BMI (Table 2) has been associated with physical activity (36). Other variables measured at TAAG baseline that could be associated with or mediate physical activity levels and/or depressive symptom scores are presented in Table 3. Participation in sports teams, social support for and enjoyment of physical activity, barriers and change strategies are all related to physical activity. It was expected that enjoyment of physical activity would be compromised when depressive symptoms are present. Additionally, adolescents who are experiencing depressive symptoms would not be expected to initiate strategies to overcome barriers or make changes to increase physical activity (2). Based on the theoretical and behavioral expectations for these variables, they were used to build both the linear and logistic regression models.

As stated previously, a mixed-model linear regression model was used to predict CES-D (dependent variable) separately from each of nine measures of physical activity (independent variables). Only sedentary behavior, as measured by accelerometry, was statistically significant in the crude model fit for each activity variable. All of the sociodemographic variables in Table 1 were then included as additional predictors with the intention of retaining only those variables with a P value of < 0.10. Father's education (P = 0.07), family structure (P = 0.03), and participation in the free/reduced lunch program (P = 0.09) were related to CES-D within the specified P value. These three variables were retained in the model and the additional variables were then added. Of these, the variables that remained at P < 0.10 were enjoyment of physical education (P = 0.09), enjoyment of physical activity (P < 0.0001), BMI (P < 0.0001), number of sports participated in outside of school (P = 0.0002), social support (P < 0.0001), number of barriers to physical activity (P < 0.0001), and time home alone (P = 0.004).

Consistent with the crude model, only sedentary behavior measured by accelerometry was significant in the adjusted models. The regression coefficients and P values from the adjusted model are presented in Table 3. The data show that higher sedentary behavior was significantly, but modestly, associated with lower CES-D scores; that is, for every additional minute of sedentary behavior measured by accelerometry, the average CES-D score decreased by 0.00978 points. Inasmuch as the overall mean for sedentary behavior in this sample is 460 and the SD is 69.3, a 2-SD increase in sedentary behavior would predict a 1.35-point decline in CES-D scores. The overall mean BMI was 20.8

TABLE 3. Predictir	ig CES-D	score from	sedentary	behavior	and	other	factors
--------------------	----------	------------	-----------	----------	-----	-------	---------

	CES-D		
	Estimate	P Value	
Sedentary behavior	-0.00978	0.0036	
Father's education		0.0744	
$\geq$ College degree	0		
< High school	1.6825	0.0972	
Graduated high school/GED	1.4812	0.0561	
Vocational tech/some college	0.9519	0.2932	
Other	1.5672	0.0059	
Household structure		0.0269	
Both	0		
None	0.2962	0.8424	
Mother only	1.6798	0.0026	
Father only	0.8657	0.6283	
Free/reduced lunch program			
No/don't know	0		
Yes	0.8596	0.0879	
Enjoyment of physical education	-0.3603	0.0898	
Enjoyment of physical activity	-0.261	< 0.0001	
BMI	0.1951	< 0.0001	
Sports outside school	0.3842	0.0002	
Social support	-0.1416	< 0.0001	
Barriers to physical activity	0.353	< 0.0001	
Time home alone		0.0036	
None	0		
< 1 h·d <sup>-1</sup>	1.3124	0.0206	
1–2 h·d <sup>−1</sup>	1.4614	0.0363	
> 2 h·d <sup>−1</sup>	2.8026	< 0.0001	

Coefficients and P values for sedentary behavior were measured by accelerometry.

and the SD is 4.8; therefore, a 2-SD increase in BMI would predict an increase in CES-D of 1.89. Compared with girls who have no time home alone after school, those girls who spent more than  $2 \text{ h} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$  home alone had CES-D scores that were 2.8 units higher. The coefficients for enjoyment of physical education and physical activity, sports participation, support and barriers to physical activity were quite small and, therefore, not very meaningful.

A logistic regression model using CES-D scores greater than or equal to 24 was developed using the same modelbuilding approach as for the linear regression model. The results from the logistic regression model were similar to those from the linear regression model, indicating no relationship between physical activity and CES-D greater than or equal to 24.

# DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the relationship between depressive symptoms and levels of physical activity. The sixth-grade girls randomly recruited from the 36 TAAG schools showed comparable levels of depressive symptoms with a sample of approximately 1900 seventhgrade girls who participated in the TEENS Study (20), with a mean CES-D score of 14.7 in TAAG and 14.8 in TEENS. The mean score for TAAG, however, was slightly higher than that for the AddHealth Study in which the average CES-D was 12.2. This slightly lower average may be reflective of a very large sample in the AddHealth study of 13,568, which included both boys and girls, and symptoms in males are generally lower than in females (28).

Some 18% of the TAAG girls had a CES-D score greater than 24. This is twice as high as the 9% found in the AddHealth Study, but not as great as the 29% observed in another sample of girls 16–18 yr of age (11). These data are alarming because there is increasing evidence that even subsyndromal symptoms of depression are associated with significant psychosocial impairment and increased likelihood of developing major depressive disorder (16).

In this sample of sixth-grade girls, no association between depressive symptoms and physical activity levels was observed. The methods used in this study were rigorous, and state-of-the-science sampling, measurement, and related methods were used. The CES-D is a widely used and respected instrument for measuring depressive symptoms and has been shown to be reliable and valid in a variety of population groups, including adolescents. Physical activity levels were measured using both accelerometry and selfreport, and neither of these methods indicated a relationship between physical activity and depressive symptoms. The information that emerged from these data, however, was that physical activity levels for these sixth-grade girls were well below public health recommendations of 60 min of MVPA daily. On average, this sample of girls engaged in less than 24 min of moderate-intensity physical activity daily and less than 6 min  $d^{-1}$  of vigorous physical activity.

Other studies have reported similar results. After participants in their study initiated a physical activity course, Mack et al. observed that mood state scores did not change, with participants remaining "fairly happy throughout the length of the course" (17). There may be a higher probability of finding an inverse relationship between physical activity and depression in clinical samples than in population-based samples, although, as mentioned previously, other population-based studies have found such a relationship (29).

It should be noted that the present sample of girls was young (mean age 12 yr), and two possibilities could be associated with such a young age. First, it is possible that they generally did not have symptoms associated with depression, or, secondly, had difficulty identifying and documenting the emotions, feelings, and symptoms listed in the CES-D.

In this study, the inverse relationship noted between depressive symptoms and sedentary behavior was unexpected; however, this is most likely a statistical anomaly given that the size of the correlation coefficient was quite small and the sample size was large. Additionally, baseline values showed that sedentary behavior was high and generally CES-D scores were not.

A variable that was significantly predictive of increases in CES-D scores was BMI. The overall BMI in this study was 20.8. When there is no statistical relationship between physical activity and depressive symptoms and a population-based measurement of BMI is not low, as is the case in this study, other possible factors may be involved, such as negative self-image or dissatisfaction with one's weight or size (8). Some studies have even evaluated weight-teasing and shame among adolescents as predictive of negative mood states (9), as well as failed attempts to weigh less (33,35).

Another variable that was significantly predictive of CES-D scores was being home alone more than 2 h each day after school. Some studies have indicated that individuals who engage in more social interactions, and who enjoy those interactions, do not experience the levels of depression or depressive symptoms of those who are less socially active (23). Adolescents who are home alone most evenings obviously have limited opportunities to be socially interactive with others.

The absence of a significant association between depressive symptoms and physical activity in this and other studies is indicative of the complex nature of that relationship, its possible dependence on other variables (some known and some yet to be examined), and the sociodemographic factors within specific subgroups. Within some subgroups, the relationship may simply not exist. Additionally, the combination of data in this study, low physical activity levels and high BMI, may not have existed in studies reporting an inverse relationship between physical activity and depressive symptoms. All of the possibilities discussed result in the conclusion that additional research on this issue is warranted that includes a wider range of combinations of data, as well as measurement of other factors that could be involved when depressive symptoms are present, specifically with girls at middle school ages.

The authors of this study do not have professional relationships with companies or manufacturers who will benefit from the results of the present study. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement of any product by the authors or ACSM.

This research was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and

## REFERENCES

- 1. Allison SL, Roeger G, Martin J. Gender differences in the relationship between depression and suicidal ideation in young adolescents. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2001;34:498–503.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 4th ed. Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Association; 1994. p. 327–49.
- Birnbaum AS, Evenson KR, Motl RW, et al. Scale development for perceived school climate for girls' physical activity. *Am J Health Behav.* 2005;29:250–7.
- Brosnahan J, Steffen LM, Lytle L, Patterson J, Boostrom A. The relation between physial activity and mental health among Hispanic and non-Hispanic white adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2004;158:818–23.
- Calfas JJ, Taylor WC. Effects of physical activity on psychological variables in adolescents. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 1994;6: 406–23.
- Catellier JD, Murray DM, Hannan PJ, et al. Imputation of missing data when measuring physical activity by accelerometry. *Obes Res.* 2003;11:A32.
- Chabrol H, Montovany A, Chouicha K, Duconge E. Study of the CES-D on a sample of 1,953 adolescent students. *Encephale*. 2002;28:429–32.
- Durkin SJ, Paxton SJ. Predictors of vulnerability to reduced body image satisfaction and psychological wellbeing in response to exposure to idealized female media images in adolescent girls. *J Psychosom Res.* 2002;53:995–1005.
- Eisenberg ME, Neumark-Sztainer D, Wall M. Weight-teasing and emotional well-being in adolescents: longitudinal findings from Project EAT. J Adolesc Health. 2006;38:675–83.
- Fletcher GF, Balady G, Blair SN, et al. Statement on exercise: benefits and recommendations for physical activity programs for all Americans. A statement for health professionals by the Committee on Exercise and Cardiac Rehabilitation of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 1996;94:857–62.
- Franko DL, Striegel-Moore RH, Thompson D, Schreiber GB, Daniels SR. Does adolescent depression predict obesity in black and white young adult women? *Psychosom Med.* 2005;35: 1505–13.
- Fulkerson JA, Sherwood NE, Perry CL, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M. Depressive symptoms and adolescent eating and health behaviors: a multifaceted view in a population-based sample. *Prev Med.* 2004.;38:865–75.
- Haarasilta L, Marttunen MJ, Kaprio JA, Aro IM. Correlates of depression in a representative nationwide sample of adolescents (15–19 years) and young adults (20–24 years). *Eur J Public Health.* 2004;14:280–5.
- Janz KF, Witt J, Mahoney LT. The stability of children's physical activity as measured by accelerometry and self-report. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1995;27(9):1326–32.
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikanga KR, Walters EE. Prevalence and treatment of mental disorders, 1990 to 2003. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:2515–23.
- Lewinsohn PM, Solomon A, Seeley JR, Zeiss A. Clinical implications of "subthreshold" depressive symptoms. J Abnorm Psychol. 2000;109(2):345–51.

Blood Institute (National Institutes of Health) grants U01HL66845, U01HL66852, U01HL66853, U01HL66855, U01HL66856, U01HL66857, and U01HL66858. The TAAG investigators are grateful to all of the girls and schools who supported, cooperated with, and participated in this study.

- Mack MG, Huddleston S, Dutler KE, Bian W. Mood state changes of students enrolled in physical activity classes. *Percept Mot Skills*. 2000;90:911–4.
- McMurray RG, Ring KB, Treuth MS, et al. Comparison of two approaches to structured physical activity surveys for adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(12):2135–43.
- Mokdad AH, Serdula MK, Dietz WH, Bowman BA, Marks JS, Koplan JP. The spread of the obesity epidemic in the United States, 1991–1998. *JAMA*. 1999;282:1519–22.
- Motl RW, Birnbaum AS, Kubik MY, Dishman RK. Naturally occurring changes in physical activity are inversely related to depressive symptoms during early adolescence. *Psychosom Med.* 2004;66:336–42.
- Myers JK, Weissman MM. Use of a self-report symptom scale to detect depression in a community sample. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1980; 137:1081–4.
- Norris R, Carroll D, Cochrane R. The effects of physical activity and exercise training on psychological stress and well-being in an adolescent population. *J Psychosom Res.* 1992; 36:55–65.
- Paluska SA, Schwenk TL. Physical activity and mental health: current concepts. Sports Med. 2000;29:167–80.
- Pate RR, Ross R, Dowda M, Trost SG, Sirard J. Validation of a 3day physical activity recall instrument in female youth. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 2003;15:257–65.
- Puyau MR, Adolph AL, Vohra RA, Butte NF. Validation and calibration of physical activity monitors in children. *Obes Res.* 2002;10:150–7.
- Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas.* 1977;1: 385–401.
- Radloff LS, Locke BZ. The community mental health assessment survey and the CES-D scale. In: Weissman MM, Myers JK, Ross CE, editors. *Community Surveys of Psychiatric Disorders*. New Brunswick (NJ): Rutgers University Press; 1986. p. 177–189.
- Rushton JL, Forcier M, Schectman RM. Epidemiology of depressive symptoms in the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2002; 41:199–205.
- Sallis JP, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Med*. 2000;32(5):963–75.
- Schmitz KH, Treuth M, Hannan P, et al. Predicting energy expenditure from accelerometry counts in adolescent girls. *Med Sci Sports Med.* 2005;37(1):155–61.
- Stevens J, Murray DM, Catellier DJ, et al. Design of the Trial of Activity in Adolescent Girls (TAAG). *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2005;26:223–33.
- Stokols D. Establishing and maintaining healthy environments. Toward a social ecology of health promotion. *Am Psychol.* 1992; 47:6–22.
- Tanofsky-Kraff M, Faden D, Yanovski SZ, Wilfley DE, Yanovski JA. The perceived onset of dieting and loss of control eating behaviors in overweight children. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2005; 38:112–22.

## DEPRESSIVE SYMPTOMS AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

- Treuth MS, Almeida J, Catellier DJ, et al. Defining accelerometer thresholds for activity intensities in adolescent girls. *Med Sci Sports Med.* 2004;36(7):1259–66.
- Trost SG, Ward DW, Moorehead SM, Watson PD, Riner W, Burke JR. Validity of the Computer Science and Applications (CSA) activity monitor in children. *Med Sci Sports Med.* 1998; 30(4):629–33.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical Activity and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General.* Washington (DC): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 1996.
- 37. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Physical Activ-

*ity Fundamental to Preventing Disease.* Washington (DC): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2002.

- Weissman MM, Sholomskas D, Pottenger M, Prusoff BA, Locke BZ. Assessing depressive symptoms in five psychiatric populations: a validation study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1977; 106:203–14.
- Weston AT, Petosa R, Pate RR. Validation of an instrument for measurement of physical activity in youth. *Med Sci Sports Med.* 1997;29(1):138–43.
- Wight RG, Sepulveda JE, Aneshensel CS. Depressive symptoms: how do adolescents compare with adults? J Adolesc Health. 2004;34:314–23.