The official minutes of the University of South Carolina Board of Trustees are maintained by the Secretary of the Board. Certified copies of minutes may be requested by contacting the Board of Trustees' Office at trustees@sc.edu. Electronic or other copies of original minutes are not official Board of Trustees' documents.

University of South Carolina
BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Buildings and Grounds Committee

September 12, 2008

The Buildings and Grounds Committee of the University of South Carolina Board of Trustees met on Friday, September 12, 2008, 10:30 a.m. in the 1600 Hampton Street Board Room.

Members present were: Mr. Toney J. Lister, Chairman; Mr. Arthur S. Bahnmuller; Mr. Mark W. Buyck, Jr.; Mr. William C. Hubbard; Mr. William W. Jones, Jr.; Mr. Eugene P. Warr, Jr.; Mr. Othniel H. Wienges, Jr.; Mr. Miles Loadholt, Board Chairman; and Mr. Samuel R. Foster II, Board Vice Chairman. Ms. Darla D. Moore was absent.

Other Trustees present were: Mr. Herbert C. Adams; Mr. Chuck Allen; Mr. James Bradley; Mr. J. Egerton Burroughs; Mrs. Amy Stone; and Mr. Mack I Whittle, Jr.

Others present were: President Harris Pastides; Secretary Thomas L. Stepp; Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost Mark P. Becker; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Richard W. Kelly; Vice President for Human Resources Jane M. Jameson; Vice President for Student Affairs and Vice Provost for Academic Support Dennis Pruitt; Vice President for Information Technology and Chief Information Officer William F. Hogue; Vice President of Planning William T. Moore; Vice Provost and Executive Dean for System Affairs and Extended University Chris P. Plyler; Interim Vice President for Advancement Michelle Dodenhoff; General Counsel Walter (Terry) H. Parham; University Treasurer Susan D. Hanna; Director of Athletics Eric Hyman; Associate Vice President for Student Affairs, Department of Student Life, Jerry T. Brewer; Associate Vice President for Business and Facilities Helen Zeigler; Special Assistant to the President and Athletics Director John D. Gregory; Dean of USC Lancaster John Catalano; Associate Vice President for Housing and Student Development and Assistant to the Vice Provost for Special Projects, Gene Luna; Director of the Department of Internal Audit Alton McCoy; Associate Vice Provost for Academic Resources, Edward L. Walton; Director of Facilities, Division of Business and Finance, Tom Quasney; Executive Director of the Foundations Office Jerome D. Odom; Director of Facilities Planning and Construction Jeff Lamberson; Executive Associate Athletics Director for External Affairs Kevin O'Connell; Chief Financial Officer, Department of Athletics, Jeff Tallant; Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs, USC Upstate, Robert Connelly; Director of Athletics, USC Upstate, Mike Hall; Associate Athletics' Director Marcy Girton; Director of Plant and Endowment Funds, Controller's Office, Deborah

Crews; Chair of the Faculty Senate Robert G. Best; Interim Director of Campus Planning and Construction and Manager of Construction Administration, Division of Facilities, Michael Thomas; Director of Governmental and Community Relations and Legislative Liaison Shirley D. Mills; Student Government Association (SGA) President Andrew Gaeckle; Director of Periodicals, University Publications, Chris Horn; Director of University Communications, Division of University Advancement, Russ McKinney, Jr.; Director of the Office Media Relations, Division of University Advancement, Margaret Lamb; University Technology Services Production Manager Justin Johnson; Heather Mitchell, The Boudreaux Group; Board staff members Terri Saxon, Vera Stone, and Karen Tweedy; and members of the media.

Chairman Lister welcomed everyone. Mr. McKinney introduced members of the media who were in attendance.

Chairman Lister called the meeting to order and stated that notice of the meeting had been posted and the press notified as required by the Freedom of Information Act; the agenda and supporting materials had been circulated to the members; and a quorum was present to conduct business.

Chairman Lister stated that there were contractual matters related to gift naming opportunities, which were appropriate for discussion in Executive Session.

Mr. Warr moved to enter Executive Session and Mr. Bahnmuller seconded the motion.

The vote was taken, and the motion carried.

The following persons were invited to remain: President Pastides, Secretary Stepp, Dr. Becker, Mr. Kelly, Ms. Jameson, Dr. Pruitt, Dr. Hogue, Dr. Plyler, Mr. Parham, Ms. Dodenhoff, Dr. Moore, Dr. Booze, Ms. Zeigler, Mr. Quasney, Mr. Thomas, Mr. Demarest, Ms. Collins, Mr. Gregory, Ms. Mills, Mr. McKinney, Mrs. Saxon, Ms. Stone, and Ms. Tweedy.

Return to Open Session

I. Phase One - Project Approvals:

Chairman Lister called on Mr. Kelly to present the following requests for Phase One project approval.

A. <u>Computer Annex Backup Power System Installation</u>: The Computer Annex houses the campus computer and telephone infrastructure. This project would

upgrade electrical equipment and install a 750KW generator to provide reliable backup power to these critical university assets. Currently, there was no backup power for the computer system and inadequate backup power for the telephone systems. The telephone infrastructure was served with a 35-year old military surplus generator that was unreliable and hard to find parts for. By providing reliable backup power, UTS would be able to maintain computer services and telephone communications in the event of a power failure.

The estimated budget for the project was \$1.1 million. Approval was requested to fund only the initial design and development of a cost estimate for the project.

Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project for Phase I Design with a budget of \$16,500 funded by UTS operating funds. Mr. Hubbard so moved and Mr. Buyck seconded the motion.

Mr. Buyck asked Mr. Kelly the time from Phase I to Phase II. Mr. Kelly replied that it varied based on the complexity of the job, but he estimated it would take a "couple of months for an engineer to prepare the design and budget." Mr. Buyck said it would be helpful for the Committee in the future to provide the estimated time between Phase I and II for each project. Mr. Kelly said that he would do.

The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

B. <u>Davis College HVAC Replacement</u>: Davis College, constructed in 1909, currently housed the School of Library and Information Science. The existing mechanical system did not have the ability to introduce sufficient outside air to manage the moisture level in the air. As a result, the facility experienced mold and mildew issues. Replacement of the HVAC was recommended to correct the air quality issues. This project would replace the fan coil air conditioning system with a duct system to bring in fresh air and control humidity.

Work would include replacing the current non-functional air handlers, VAV boxes, speed drives, exchangers, pumps, piping, and electrical. Also, attic insulation would be installed, the fire sprinkler heads replaced, the existing lay-in ceiling replaced, and asbestos abated that was associated with mechanical work.

The estimated budget for the project is \$2 million. Approval was requested to fund only the initial design and development of a cost estimate for the project, which Mr. Kelly estimated would take approximately three to four months to complete.

Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project for Phase I Design with a budget of \$30,000 funded by Institutional Funds. Mr. Jones so moved and Mr. Hubbard seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal

Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

C. <u>South Sumter Street Streetscaping</u>: This project would continue the streetscaping/landscaping efforts to improve safety and beautification of the campus on South Sumter Street from Rocky Branch Creek to Whaley Street. Streetscape improvements would include narrowing of traffic lanes, removal of on-street parking, installation of pedestrian scale street lighting, creation of landscaped tree zones and installation of new walkways where required. Additional improvements would include landscaping, irrigation, improvement of service areas and service access.

This phase of the streetscaping plan was budgeted at \$1 million. Approval was requested to fund only the initial design and development of a cost estimate for the project.

Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project for Phase I Design with a budget of \$15,000 funded by Institutional Funds. Mr. Buyck so moved and Mr. Hubbard seconded the motion.

Mr. Hubbard requested that consideration be given to extending the concrete pedestrian path along Rocky Branch Creek beyond its current ending point at Sumter Street to continue to the Swearingen Engineering Building and beyond. Mr. Kelly said that they had given thought to extending the project, but did not include it in the plans. Mr. Hubbard stated that he wanted the extension included in the project. Mr. Kelly explained that the funding of the extension would be the issue, but that they would include it in the planning process. Mr. Hubbard stated that he felt funding support could be found if the extension were included.

Mr. Gaeckle asked if the flooding concerns in the project area would be considered and Mr. Kelly said that they would.

The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

D. <u>Woodrow Façade Improvements/Window Upgrades</u>: Woodrow College, constructed in 1914, is a 34,000 square foot residence hall located on the second tier of the Horseshoe and directly across from the Russell House. This project would improve the south façade of Woodrow College and upgrade the existing windows.

Façade improvements would include enclosing the existing open emergency stairs on the south side of the building. The enclosure would be compatible with the architectural style of the facility and the USC Design Guidelines. In addition, approximately 168 windows would be replaced or restored with new energy efficient windows. The existing windows were original to the building and the majority of these were deteriorating. Upgrades would include replacement of window sills

damaged by water infiltration. Archives and History would consult on the replacement windows.

The estimated budget for the project was \$2.1 million. Approval was requested to fund only the initial design and development of a cost estimate for the project, which Mr. Kelly estimated would take approximately three to four months to complete.

Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project for Phase I Design with a budget of \$31,500 to be funded with Housing Funds. Mr. Buyck so moved and Mr. Hubbard seconded the motion.

Mr. Gaeckle asked for clarification on the detail on closing the exterior stairwell, since students enjoyed the view from the balcony, and Mr. Kelly assured him that the renovation would continue to allow an open view.

The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

E. McClintock Renovation and Fire Protection: McClintock Residence
Hall, constructed in 1955, is located in the central area of campus in what is called
"The Women's Quad." It is considered one of the University's cornerstone buildings
for housing women students. This project would modernize the facility and install
life safety upgrades. An analysis of the potential to convert this community bath
traditional hall to suite style housing similar to Sims Hall next door would be
conducted as part of Phase I design.

Work would include repairs to interior walls, restoration of the lobby, and installation of new finishes including paint, carpet and tile. Building systems (plumbing, electrical, and mechanical rooms) would also be upgraded. Life safety upgrades would include emergency lighting, and the installation of a new fire protection sprinkler system and fire alarms.

The estimated budget for the project was \$5 million. Approval was requested to fund only the initial design and development of a cost estimate for the project.

Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project for Phase I Design with a budget of \$75,000 to be funded with Housing Funds. Mr. Bahnmuller so moved and Mr. Jones seconded the motion.

Mr. Gaeckle asked for an update on fire protection efforts on campus. Mr. Kelly responded University Housing was undertaking an aggressive program to ensure all student housing was protected with alarm and sprinkler systems. Approximately 73 percent of student housing was currently protected with sprinklers, and projects currently programmed raise this to 90 percent.

President Pastides asked that a report on fire protection efforts on the system campuses be provided.

The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

F. <u>Upstate Track and Field Complex Construction</u>: This project was to construct a new track and field complex, to be constructed in phases as funding was identified. The first phase would be the basic track portion of the complex, including access, infrastructure, the track, fencing, a remote parking lot for resident students, and related work. Future phases would complete the track area and add lighting, seating, support facilities including rest rooms and a press box, parking lots, a throwing area, storage facilities, and related features.

The USC Upstate track and field program currently used facilities located off campus throughout the County. The program was growing at a fast rate, especially with entry into Division 1 of the NCAA. In addition to allowing for more

participation by athletes and the entire campus community, locating the program on campus would be much more cost effective.

The preliminary project budget of the entire complex was estimated to be \$4 million. The preliminary projected budget for the first portion to be constructed was \$1 million. Approval was requested to fund the initial design and development of a cost estimate for the entire project.

Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project for Phase I

Design with a budget of \$60,000 funded with Private Funds. Mr. Jones so moved and

Mr. Warr seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

II. Other Approvals:

A. <u>Hamilton College HVAC Installation</u>: Hamilton College, constructed in 1943, houses the psychology and anthropology programs. This facility was on the long range plan for a major renovation, and was an interim upgrade of the HVAC to provide a new air handling unit for the classroom wing of Hamilton College to improve the air quality in the building and reduce humidity.

The existing systems in the building were a combination of radiators, window air conditioning units, and a few split system heating and cooling units installed in recently renovated spaces. The new unit would not replace these systems, but would provide a source of dehumidified fresh air to the building.

Work would include installation of the unit, utility tie-ins, installation of ductwork, building modifications required to house the new unit, and electrical upgrades required to support the system.

Approval was requested to fund the entire project. Chairman Lister called for

a motion to establish this project with a budget of \$495,000 funded by the College of Arts and Sciences. Mr. Warr so moved and Mr. Jones seconded the motion.

The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

B. <u>LeGare/Pinckney - Fire Protection Upgrade</u>: LeGare/Pinckney, constructed in 1848, located on the Horseshoe, housed upper class students in two and

four bedroom apartments. This project would install new life safety upgrades including emergency lighting, a new fire protection sprinkler system and fire alarms.

Approval was requested to fund the entire project. Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project with a budget of \$480,000 funded with Housing Funds. Mr. Bahnmuller so moved and Mr. Buyck seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

C. School of Medicine Animal Facility Upgrades: The Animal Research Facility was currently located in the basement of Building 4 at the School of Medicine (SOM) VA Campus. This project would improve the facility to ensure accreditation by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care was maintained, in addition to other regulatory agencies' compliance.

Work would include the purchase and installation of an emergency power generator, replacement of an antiquated tunnel washer, and upgrades of the cage wash room to provide clean and dirty work areas.

This project would provide a quality research lab and enhance the environmental conditions as well as health and safety for the animal care and animal use personnel. These renovations would benefit 25 investigators.

Approval was requested to fund the entire project. Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project with a budget of \$495,000 funded with \$441,000 in NIH grant funding and \$54,000 from SOM Research Incentive Funds. Mr. Bahnmuller so moved and Mr. Jones seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

D. <u>School of Medicine 101 HVAC Upgrades</u>: Building 101, constructed in 1978, housed the library and general academic space for the SOM VA Campus. This project would upgrade the buildings original mechanical system, which needed replacement due to high maintenance costs and the difficulty in finding replacement

parts. Work would include the replacement of two, 40-ton chillers, pumps, air handler units, and associated controls.

Approval was requested to fund the entire project. Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project with a budget of \$350,000 funded with SOM operating funds. Mr. Jones so moved and Mr. Warr seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

E. <u>School of Medicine Building 4 Chiller Installation</u>: Building 4 of the SOM VA Campus houses Academic Support, Student Affairs, as well as the Departments of Biology, Anatomy, Pharmacology, and Physiology and Animal Research. This project would install a new 40-ton back-up. The building was primarily served from a central chiller plant located in Building 1. The backup chiller would be connected to an emergency generator and would provide emergency cooling to the facility in the event of a power outage or failure at the central plant. It could also be used to provide auxiliary cooling capacity during peak usage. Failure to properly cool the facility could potentially result in catastrophic failure of very important, long term research.

Approval was requested to fund the entire project. Chairman Lister called for a motion to establish this project with a budget of \$360,000 funded with \$314,000 in SOM ICPF (Institutional Capital Project Funds), and \$46,000 in SOM Research Incentive Funds. Mr. Warr so moved and Mr. Buyck seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

F. <u>USC Sumter Baseball Practice Field Construction</u>: Last year was the USC Sumter baseball program's inaugural year. This project was the first phase of construction of a baseball practice field. Games were currently played on a city field which was not available for practice because of scheduling conflicts and heavy use of the field. During Fall practice they used a local high school field, but during the Spring the high school required its field for its own practice.

The USC Sumter Fire Ants belong to the National Junior College Athletic Association, Region 10. Last year, in the first year of competition, they placed fourth in the region. There were 38 athletes on the roster.

USC Sumter desired to construct a practice baseball field on their campus. In order to have the field ready for use in early 2009, a project with limited scope was currently in planning. The project currently proposed included grading of the site, installation of a clay infield, hydro-seeding of the site, and storm water

piping. Additional work included installation of an irrigation system, installation of sod, and installation of a backstop and fencing.

Approval was requested to fund the entire project. Chairman Lister called for a motion to proceed with this project with a budget of \$350,000 funded with USC Sumter Institutional Capital Project Funds. Mr. Bahnmuller so moved and Mr. Warr seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The University hereby declares its official intent, pursuant to Federal Regulations, to reimburse itself from the amount of proceeds of the tax-exempt bond issue.

III. Project Status Reports:

- A. <u>Baseball Stadium</u>: Mr. Hyman reported that the stadium was 73 percent complete, on schedule, and on budget. The team was currently using the facility for practice. It was scheduled to be completed by December 31, 2008. He said that it was a spectacular facility of which the University could be proud.
- B. <u>Band Dance Facility</u>: The estimated project completion date for the 32,000 square foot facility was December 2008.
- C. <u>Honors Dorm</u>: The estimated completion date of the 550 bed, \$47 million project, was August 2009. Currently, the project was approximately 30 percent complete.

Mr. Whittle asked that whether each project was "on time" and "on budget" be included in the status reports. Mr. Kelly noted that both the Band Dance Facility and the Honors Dorm were "on time" and "on budget."

D. Thomas Cooper Library Addition: The estimated completion date of the \$18 million project was January 2010. Currently, the project was approximately 10 percent complete and "on time" and "on budget."

Mr. Kelly noted that Sumter Street was closed from Blossom Street to the back of Long Street Theatre due to the Honors Dorm and the Library projects. Mr. Hubbard asked if Sumter Street would remain closed during the duration of the Library project. Mr. Kelly said that once the Honors College was completed they hoped to be able to reopen Sumter Street.

Mr. Whittle asked for confirmation that \$12 million of Federal funding for the project was still in place, and Mr. Kelly assured him it was.

- E. <u>Athletic Academic Enrichment Center</u>: Mr. Hyman reported the Center would be the heart of the Athletic Department, and was expected to be completed by October 2009.
 - F. Columbia Campus Utility Infrastructure Repairs Phase 2:

Mr. Foster asked Mr. Kelly to explain, for the benefit of the Committee, the "CM at risk" approach to project construction. He replied that the process was

fairly new in State Government but not in the private sector; and asked Mr. Mike Thomas, formerly the State Engineer, to explain the process in detail. Mr. Thomas stated that subsequent to the passage of the State Act which "allowed the Innovista alternative procurement code, the Legislature also modified the State procurement code," which essentially required evaluation of all types of project delivery methods for large projects. The main advantage of the "CM at risk" process was the ability to hire a bonded contract manager at the beginning of the project for a guaranteed maximum price, which could save the University money.

Mr. Burroughs stated that the "CM at risk" process would "save the taxpayers a lot of money" and would help projects to be completed on time and on budget. He said it was obvious that someone had worked very hard on the development of the process and he would like to commend them for their efforts. Mr. Kelly replied that the credit should go to Mr. Foster who had taken on development and implementation of the "CM at risk" process with a passion, by investing his time to make it a success.

Mr. Kelly explained the Columbia Campus Utility Infrastructure Repairs project was approved in full by the Board on October 18, 2007 for \$1.5 million to be funded with Institutional Funds, prior to the State Phased approval process implementation. This was notification that Phase 1 was complete and that plans were underway to request the State's approval for Phase 2.

G. Administrative Project Increase - Allendale Campus Deferred

Maintenance Repairs/Renovations: In accordance with the policy approved by the Board of Trustees, administrative approval was obtained to increase the budget for the Allendale Campus Deferred Maintenance Repairs/Renovations project by \$192.00. The increase was a result of the transfer of a remaining balance from a completed project, Walterboro Extension Repairs. The additional funds would be used to address deferred maintenance items on the USC Salkehatchie Allendale Campus.

The increase was funded with Research University Infrastructure Bonds and resulted in a total budget of \$258,192 funded totally with Research University Infrastructure Bonds.

Greek Village Options: Mr. Kelly discussed potential sites for consideration of another Greek Village unit, and noted the number of houses that could be built on each. The site options included: two sites on College Street; three sites on Blossom Street; and one site on Catawba Street. He explained the pros and cons of development on each site.

Chairman Lister asked if interest to build houses had been received from Fraternities and Sororities. Dr. Pruitt responded yes, and that in order to create a village community a minimum of ten houses, along with open space, was recommended. He explained the importance of not splintering several small Greek village clusters, from a safety, an economic, and a service standpoint.

Following more discussion on specific sites, Mr. Hubbard stated that several

Committee members had questions regarding specific properties that they wished to discuss, and he would therefore move to enter into executive session for contractual matters at the end of the meeting.

Athletics Master Plan: Mr. Hyman reported that they had received rave reviews on the Champions Club at Williams-Brice Stadium, and that interest for expansion on the north part of the west stands was high.

The Sports Medicine Facility was about two weeks behind schedule. Until completion of the Athletic Village, it would serve all sports programs. Once the Village was completed, the facility would only serve the Football program.

Mr. Hyman reviewed the conceptual revisions to the Athletics Facilities Master Plan, which included relocation of specific sports, such as keeping the Women's Volleyball program in the Athletics annex (next to the Coliseum), and moving the Men's and Women's Basketball programs to the Athletics Village facility when completed. He noted that since the Basketball programs were major revenue sources, they should have location priority.

Mr. Hyman said that at the time he came to USC, the 2004 Gender Equity Plan reflected quite a disparity with approximately 43 percent female and 57 percent male participation. The Athletics Department had addressed the disparity through roster management, by placing "ceilings" on men's sports and "floors" on women's sports. Through those efforts, the gender ratio had improved to approximately 51.5 percent female and 48.5 male participation. The Department was currently working to establish a women's lacrosse team, and had allocated more money for women's sports. With the addition of a women's lacrosse team the gender equity rate would increase,

placing USC in the top three of the SEC participation rate. Alternative locations for the women's lacrosse team were currently be considered.

Mr. Jones stated that although the Athletic Master Plan looked great, he questioned whether there would be any risks of isolating the athletes' involvement and interaction with the rest of the campus from focusing in one area. Mr. Hyman replied that they would be less than one mile from the center of campus, but the Village would provide the opportunity for the Athletes to practice, study, and eat in one location. Classes and dorms were with the rest of the student body.

President Pastides stated that although the Athletics program was more compliant with Title IX than it had previously been, he had directed Mr. Hyman and Ms. Val Shealy, USC Athletics Compliance Director, to continue working toward bringing it into full gender equity compliance. He expressed his concern regarding the delay in the establishment of the Women's Lacrosse Team, and what the delay would do to the University's ability to meet the Title IX requirements.

Mr. Allen asked for clarification on the specific Title IX mandates that USC was required to meet. Ms. Gerton responded that the University was required by the

Office of Civil Rights to meet at least one of three prongs: proportionality; history of continuing practice of additional participation opportunities for women; or accommodation of all the interested participants.

Mr. Hubbard asked that prior to the Committee and the full Board vote on the proposed revisions to the Plan he wanted a "visual line" graphic done, since he understood that the original concept of the Plan included the ability to look out from the top of the Athletics Village. He said he that wanted to make sure the visual line was not cut off, as it had been done in previous projects.

Chairman Lister asked if Mr. Hubbard or any other member of the Committee would object to voting on the revised plan, with the understanding that prior to consideration by the full Board the visual line graphic be provided, and Mr. Hubbard did object. He explained that since this was the first time the Committee had seen the revision, they had not had the opportunity to study it. There was significant variation from the original plan. He was however, willing to meet to discuss it in more detail. He also stated that the Committee should wait on the updated gender equity report prior to making a decision on the revised plan so that they did not approve something which could cause the plan to be revised.

Mr. Hyman clarified that the revised plan was only being presented for information, not action.

Mr. Hubbard recommended that the Intercollegiate Athletics and the Buildings and Grounds Committees meet, jointly for expediency, to discuss the revisions in detail and that Sasaki be invited to participate, since they were charged with development, implementation, and management of the University's Master Plan. He concluded that consideration of the revisions should be put on "the front burner."

IV. Gift Naming Opportunities:

Chairman Lister explained that the following Gift Naming Opportunities were presented in Executive Session without objection:

Α. USC Columbia - School of Law:

- "The Everette and Beverly Newman Classroom"
- "The McLeod Brothers American Bar Association Real Property, Probate and Trust Journal Office"
- "Carl L. Solomon Faculty Office"

В. USC Upstate:

- "J M Smith Foundation Board Room"
- "The Albert V. Smith '77 and Helen B. Smith '95 Classroom" 2.
- "The Lawrence E. Roel MD, PhD, FACS Curriculum Lab" 3.
- "The Walter M. and Donna R. Cart Community Health Lab" 4.
- "The Spartanburg County Medical Society Associate Dean's Suite" 5.
- "The Milton A. Smith, Jr. '78 and Family Classroom" "The Michael E. Spears Family Classroom" 6.
- 7.
- 8. "The First National Bank of the South Dean's Office"
- 9. "The Spartanburg Surgical Academic Associates, Inc. Nursing Classroom"
- 10. "The Palmetto Hematology Oncology, PC Nursing Classroom"
- "The Carolina Surgical Specialists Nursing Seminar Room" 11.
- 12. "The Mary Black Health System Classroom Center"
- "The Hodge Dean's Suite" 13.
- 14. "The Terry and Janis Cash Classroom" $\ensuremath{\text{\textbf{T}}}$
- 15. "The Curtis R. Harley Lecture Room"

- 16. "The Spartanburg Ear, Nose & Throat - Head and Neck Surgery Seminar Room"
- 17 "The Susan Kenney Dent Nursing Classroom"
- "The Inman-Riverdale Foundation Patient Simulator Lab"
- "The Cardiology Consultants Nursing Classroom" 19.
- "The Featherston Family Classroom" 20.
- 21. "The John & Jane Bottsford Patient Simulator Lab"
- 22.
- "The Cecilia J. Cogdell Nursing Seminar Room"
 "The Claus C. Foerster '85 & Family Curriculum Lab" 23.
- "The Charles E. Atchison, Sr. Classroom"
- 25. "The Spartanburg Pathology Consultants Seminar Room"
- "The White's Pine Street Exxon & Junie White Computer Lab" 26.

Chairman Lister called for a motion to approve the gift naming opportunities as presented. Mr. Bahnmuller so moved and Mr. Jones seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

Report on Forthcoming Project Approvals:

Chairman Lister called on Mr. Kelly. He explained that he planned to advise the Committee in advance of upcoming project action items. A request to upfit the Horizon and Discovery buildings would be on the Committee's next agenda.

VI. Development Foundation Report:

Chairman Lister called on Dr. Odom. He reported that "traffic" had pick up through the Adesso condominiums and that (17) units had sold. In addition Bakers Bros American Deli opened on the ground retail level.

Dr. Odom reported that The Inn at USC was doing well even though they had not been able to advertize. However, the agreement with the local hotel association, which had prohibited initial advertisement would expire in December 2008. The Foundation planned to begin advertising the Inn the first of the year, and to list it on Travelocity and Expedia, and other web sites.

The Foundation was currently working with Mr. Brewer in the Department of Student Life, to purchase and renovate a house on Mulberry Lane, behind the Greek Village. The house would serve as a residence for the Assistant Director of Greek Life, in an effort to provide 24/7 assistance to the residents of the Village.

Wheeler Hill was a "slow work in progress." They were working with the railroad to install a drainage pipe under the tracks, working with a small church in Wheeler Hill on a "land-swap;" and have been informed that because of those changes and changes required by DHEC with respect to stormwater drainage, they had to resubmit the Planned Urban Development document to the City. The document was almost complete.

Mr. Parks, Director of Innovista, had identified the Consolidated Services warehouse on Whaley Street as an excellent place for light manufacturing or wetlaboratories. The Foundation was currently working with the South Carolina Research Authority, under their Innovation Centers Bill, to possibly sell or lease the warehouse to companies which might want to locate in the Vista.

Dr. Odom stated that according to Mr. Parham, the Foundation would close next

week on the One Wood Farm/USC Women's Tennis Courts land swap, for the USC Women's Equestrian Team.

The Pritchard Island Advisory Committee was scheduled to meet the next week in Beaufort to consider maintenance and upkeep of the island, which was currently used by the University for research. The north end of the island was eroding.

Work was continuing on the Longleaf Environmental learning center on the Prince George property in Georgetown. Several foundation members had met the day before with the City of Georgetown Administrator to advise him of their plans and he was very enthusiastic and pledged that he would help to move the project forward as quickly as possible.

The USC airplane was repainted, not only for cosmetic reasons, but also to correct some exterior maintenance problems.

Dr. Odom concluded by inviting Chairman Lister, along with Mr. Buyck, to attend the USC Development Foundation's meetings.

VII. Other Matters:

Chairman Lister called for any other matters to come before the Committee, prior to calling for an Executive Session for contractual matters, and there were none.

Mr. Hubbard moved to enter Executive Session for contractual matters and Mr. Buyck seconded the motion. The vote was taken and the motion carried.

The following persons were invited to remain for Executive Session: President Pastides, Secretary Stepp, Dr. Becker, Mr. Kelly, Ms. Jameson, Dr. Pruitt, Dr. Hogue, Dr. Plyler, Mr. Parham, Dr. Moore, Ms. Zeigler, Dr. Odom, Mr. Hyman, Mr. Quasney, Mr. Thomas, Mr. Demarest, Ms. Collins, Mr. Gregory, Ms. Mills, Mrs. Saxon, Ms. Stone, and Ms. Tweedy.

Return to Open Session

VIII. Adjournment:

There being no other business, Chairman Lister declared the meeting adjourned at $12:40~\mathrm{p.m.}$

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas L. Stepp Secretary